

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/665,921	09/20/2000	Jim Barton	TIVO0024	8519
7590 04/25/2007 Kirk D Wong Hickman Palermo Truong & Becker LLP 2055 Gateway Place Suite 550 San Jose, CA 95110-1089			EXAMINER	
			VENT, JAMIE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/665,921	BARTON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jamie Vent	2621			
D 1.6	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c				
Period fo	• •					
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Fe	ebruary 2007.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,28-41 and 55-68</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14,28-41 and 55-68</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
,.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
		•				
		•				
Attachmen	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			
	Probable Statement(s) (P10/SB/08) Probable Date <u>08/29/06; 09/25/06</u> .	6) Other:	atom Application			

Application/Control Number: 09/665,921 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Additionally, examiner notes the "frame-specific tags" are defined as "tags that indicate start and end points of program segments" as defined on page 3 of applicants specification and thereby this definition is incorporated into the grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 12-14, 28-37, 39-41, 55-64, and 66-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Zigmond et al (US 6,400,407) in view of Browne et al (WO 92/22983) in further view of Iggulden (US 6,404,977).

[claims 1, 28, & 55]

In regard to Claims 1, 28, and 55 Zigmond et al discloses a process and apparatus for frame specific tagging of television audio and video broadcast streams with tag translation at a receiver, comprising the steps of:

- tuning said receiver to a broadcast stream (Column 2 Lines 40-51 describes the tuning of the receiver to receive the broadcast stream);
- receiving said broadcast stream at said receiver (Column 4 Lines 20-30);

Art Unit: 2621

detecting and processing tags in said broadcast stream (Column 6 Lines
 1-25 discloses the detecting and processing of tags into the broadcast stream);

Page 3

- wherein said processing step performs the appropriate actions in response to said tags (Column 6 Lines 42-62 describes the processing step that performs appropriate actions); and
- wherein said tags include command and control information (Column 6
 Lines 42-62 describes that tags include command and control information
 as further described in Column 9 Lines 63+ through Column 10 Lines 134); however, fails to disclose
 - storing said broadcast stream on said storage device;
 - displaying program material in said broadcast stream from said storage device to a viewer;
 - detecting and processing of frame specific tags in the broadcast stream; and
 - wherein said processing step performs appropriate actions in response to said tags which include command and control information instructing the receiver to perform certain actions.

Browne et al discloses a system wherein data is stored on a storage device as seen in Figure 1 and discussed on Pages 5-6. Additionally program material both live and stored contents are displayed to the user as seen in Figure 1 and discussed on Page

13. The system by storing programs for displaying and reproducing allows the system

Art Unit: 2621

to be easily controlled by the user of recorded and live programs and thereby allows for an interactive set-top box. It is further taught by Iggulden the detection and processing of frame specific tags as seen in Figure 8. The tags are referred to as event markers and further send instruction to the receiver on commands such as muting the broadcast segment as described in Column 16 Lines 14-58. The system thereby allows for the processing of data in an efficient manner that is done at the set-top box and not by the user. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the tagging system, as disclosed by Zigmond et al, and further incorporate a system wherein programs are stored on a storage medium, as disclosed by Browne et al, to allow for proper storage of the broadcast signal and further incorporate the tagged broadcast signal to include command and control information, as taught by Iggulden, to allow for the system to receive instructions based on the individual broadcast segments.

[claims 2, 29, & 56]

In regard to Claims 2, 29, and 56 Zigmond et al in view of Browne discloses a process and apparatus wherein tags indicate the start and end points of a program segment(Column 6 Lines 59-61 describes the start and end times that are included in the tags); however, fails to specifically disclose that the start and end points of the program segment are within a broadcast stream. Iggulden teaches that the start and end points of the event markers are placed within the broadcast stream as seen in Figures 4 and 5. The event markers allows for proper detection and processing of the marked segments. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time of the invention to use the tagging system as disclosed by Zigmond in view of Browne, and further incorporate the tags to be present in a broadcast stream, as taught by Iggulden.

[claims 3, 30, & 57]

In regard to Claims 3, 30, 57, Zigmond et al discloses process and apparatus wherein said displaying step skips over said program segment in response to the viewer pressing a button on a remote input device (Column 5 Lines 19-27 describes the displaying step that skips over the program segment in response from the user via the remote).

[claims 4, 31, & 58]

In regard to Claims 4, 31, and 58, Zigmond et al discloses a process and apparatus having a displaying step automatically skips said program segment (Column 9 Lines 35-63 describes the step of automatically skipping the program segment).

[claims 5, 32, & 59]

In regard to Claims 5, 32, and 59 Zigmond et al discloses a process and apparatus processing step displays a menu to the viewer based on information included in a tag (Column 5 Lines 25-27 describes the menu that is displayed to the user regarding tagged information).

[claims 6, 33, & 60]

In regard to Claims 6, 33, and 60, Zigmond et al discloses a process and apparatus wherein the processing step records the current program in the broadcast stream on said storage device based on information included in a tag (Column 9 Lines 35-63

Art Unit: 2621

describes the processing step that records the current program in the broadcast stream on the storage device based on the information included in the tag).

Page 6

[claims 7, 34, & 61]

In regard to Claims 7, 34, and 61 Zigmond et al discloses a process and apparatus wherein the processing step further comprising the steps of:

- displaying, allowing the viewer to scroll, and performing an action
 associated with the multiple icons (Column 9 Lines 9-33 describes the
 multiple icons that are accessible to the viewer);
- accepting viewer input information and selecting a particular icon based on the viewer's input (Column 5 Lines 19-27 describes the viewer accepting the input information and selecting a an icon based on the viewers input).

[claims 8, 35, & 62]

In regard to Claims 8, Zigmond et al discloses the process and apparatus comprising the steps of:

- wherein said processing step displays an icon to the viewer based on information included in a tag (Column 9 Lines 9-33 describes the process of displaying an icon to the viewer based on information included in the tag);
- accepting viewer input information and interacting with the viewer based on the tag information (Column 5 Lines 19-41 describes the accepting of the input information and interacting with the tag information);

Art Unit: 2621

wherein said displaying step saves the exit point in the program material
 (Column 9 Lines 9-61 describes the display steps that saves the exit point of the program material); and

Page 7

 wherein the viewer is returned to said exit point upon completion of any interaction (Column 9 Lines 9-61 additional describes the return to the program material).

[claims 9, 10, 36, 37, 63, & 64]

In regard to Claims 9, 10, 36, 37, 63, and 64 Zigmond et al discloses the process and apparatus further comprising the steps of:

- presenting a plurality of menus to the viewer for generating a lead (Figure
 9 Lines 9-32 describes the presenting of plurality of menus to viewers for generating a lead on advertisements); and
- forwarding the viewer's contact information to a third party upon viewer approval (Figure 1 shows the bi-directional communication that happens from the server unit to the user which forwards appropriate information and furthermore is described in Column 4 Lines 49-65).

[claims 12, 39, & 66]

In regard to Claims 12, 39, and 66 Zigmond et al discloses a process and apparatus further comprising the steps of:

presenting the content of a Web site's Web Page to the viewer in
 response to the viewer's input wherein the viewer is allowed to interact

Art Unit: 2621

Page 8

with the web site (Column 7 Lines 40+ describes the presenting of web site information to the viewer).

[claims 13, 40, & 67]

In regard to Claims 13, 40 and 67 Zigmond et al discloses a process and apparatus wherein said tags allow

a system administrator to remotely configure said receiver (Figure 1 shows the bidirectional communication allowing a system administrator to remotely configure and receive content through the receiver.)

[claims 14, 41, & 68]

In regard to Claims 14, 41, and 68 Zigmond et al discloses the process and apparatus further comprising the steps of:

 marking indexes in said program material based on tag information and jumping to an index selected by the viewer (Column 8 Lines 37-64 describes the marking of indexes of the program material based on tag information and jumping to an index selection by the viewer).

Claims 11, 38, and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zigmond et al (US 6,400,407) in view of Browne et al (WO 92/22983) in further view of Iggulden (US 6,404,977) in further view of Dunn et al (US 5,648,824).

[claims 11, 38, & 65]

In regard to Claims 11, 38 and 65, Zigmond et al in view of Browne et al in further view of Iggulden, discloses the process and apparatus for frame specific tagging of

Art Unit: 2621

television audio and video broadcast streams with tag translation; however, fails to discloses the presenting a set of program recording options to the viewer; and scheduling the viewer's recording preferences.

Dunn et al discloses a system that has user interface allowing video controlling through options such as playback, record, fast forward, etc. It is seen in Figure 3 the user views information regarding the program and thereby schedules the recording preferences as further described in Column 5 Lines 27-65. Thereby allowing the user to choose various programming options when setting a recording schedule which allows for more accurate recording schedules. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a system of tagging broadcast streams, as disclosed by Zigmond et al in view of Browne et al in further view of Iggulden, and further incorporate a system which provides user the information regarding recording of the programs, as disclosed by Dunn et al.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/665,921 Page 10

Art Unit: 2621

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamie Vent whose telephone number is 571-272-7384. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Groody can be reached on 571-272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jamie Vent

James J. Groody Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 2627/27/